

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Translation

Applicant's or agent's file reference 014171-PC	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/FR99/02076	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 01 September 1999 (01.09.99)	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 04 September 1998 (04.09.98)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04N 7/36		
Applicant FRANCE TELECOM S.A.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of            sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty; inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☒ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☒ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 16 March 2000 (16.03.00)	Date of completion of this report 11 December 2000 (11.12.2000)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR99/02076

## I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.*):

☐ the international application as originally filed.

☒ the description, pages 1-19, as originally filed,  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand,  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_,  
pages \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_.

☒ the claims, Nos. 1-23, as originally filed,  
Nos. \_\_\_\_\_, as amended under Article 19,  
Nos. \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand,  
Nos. \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_,  
Nos. \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_.

☒ the drawings, sheets/fig 1/3-3/3, as originally filed,  
sheets/fig \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the demand,  
sheets/fig \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_,  
sheets/fig \_\_\_\_\_, filed with the letter of \_\_\_\_\_.

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☐ the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_

☐ the claims, Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

☐ the drawings, sheets/fig \_\_\_\_\_

3. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement****1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-23	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-23	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-23	YES
	Claims		NO

**2. Citations and explanations**

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: EP-A-0 765 087

The closest prior art is document D1 which describes a method for estimating motion between two digital images, said method being designed to generate localised motion vectors matched to nodes of a grid (see Figure 26). On the basis of the motion vectors available, an estimated image is calculated and the error produced is compared with a threshold. In the event that the error exceeds the threshold, the same method is repeated in its entirety, the only difference being that a grid with an alternative or a finer structure is used. This method is carried out repeatedly until the error condition is met.

The subject matter of the claims is also an iterative method for determining the motion vectors at each node of a grid and said method must also meet an error condition. However, the present method differs from the above in that, at each step, the overall optimisation of the values of all the vectors in accordance with a differential method is envisaged. Therefore, both the grid and the determination of vectors are optimised at each step and it

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/FR 99/02076

is for this reason that the claims involve an inventive step.

The subject matter claimed relates to electronic devices that are manufactured in the industry and is therefore industrially applicable.

**VII. Certain defects in the international application**

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

1. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not indicate the relevant prior art disclosed in document D1, nor does it cite said document.
2. Independent Claim 1 is not properly drafted in two parts in relation to the prior art disclosed in document D1 (PCT Rule 6.3(b)).

**VIII. Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 21 is unclear. It appears that the method for estimating motion between two digital images should be used for image encoding rather than for image "decoding".